

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/603,491	06/25/2003	Donald E. Weder	8403.917	3540	
30589	7590 06/26/2006		EXAM	INER	
DUNLAP, CODDING & ROGERS P.C.			AHMAD,	AHMAD, NASSER	
PO BOX 16370 OKLAHOMA CITY, OK 73113			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1772		
			DATE MAILED: 06/26/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/603,491	WEDER, DONALD E.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Nasser Ahmad	1772
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuding the period for reply will, by statuding the period for reply will be office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ate, cause the application to become A	ICATION. I reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06	April 2006.	
	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) <u>1-11,13-21,23-30,33-52 and 54-65</u> is 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-8,10,11,13-17,19-21,23-27,29</u>	awn from consideration.	
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3,9,18,28,37,50 and 58</u> is/are objec 8)☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	ted to.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ ac	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bure	nts have been received. nts have been received in fority documents have bee	Application No
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies no	t received.
Attachment(s)	_	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Terminal Disclaimer

1. The terminal disclaimer filed on 4/6/2006 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of U.S. Patents 6387463, 6495248, 6565963, 6702919, 3723417 and copending application Nos. 09/929796, 10/390280 have been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer have been recorded.

Rejection Maintained

2. Claim1-11, 13-21, 23-30 and 32-65 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-35 of copending Application No. 11/079953 for reasons of record made in the last Office Action

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 4/6/2006have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant has failed to address the double patenting rejection over US application No. 11/079953 made in the last Office Action of 10/18/2005, paragraph-14 and hence the double patenting rejection is maintained.

Art Unit: 1772

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-2, 4-8,-11, 13-17, 19-21, 23-27, 29-30, 32-36, 38-49, 51-57, 59-65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Magid (3560322).

Magid relates to a method comprising the step of providing at least one polymeric material having an upper surface and a lower surface, wherein at least a portion of one of the surfaces is modified to provide with a matte-finish. However, Magid fails to teach the step of selling and delivering the sheet to a customer. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Magid by providing the step of selling and delivering the sheet to a customer in order for it to be used as a decorative material.

The matte finish can be provided with printing, embossing, texturing, etc.

The polymeric material can include a plurality of sheets (11, 12) formed into a roll of material (figure-1) or a pad ((figures 4-6).

One of the layers can be a foam (12) having a thickness as that of the plastic sheet (11), that is between 0.25 to 30 mils (col. 3, lines 5-10).

The sheets can be bonded with adhesive, which has its own color.

The phrase "wherein the customer wraps the sheet...matte finish" in claims 39-42, 60-61 has not been given any patentable weight because it is not directed to a step of the method.

Art Unit: 1772

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 3, 9, 18, 28, 37, 50, 58 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art fails to teach that the matte finish is provided by printing with matted ink or lacquering with matted lacquer, that one of the sheet has acrylic sealable lacquer disposed thereon .

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nasser Ahmad whose telephone number is 571-272-1487. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM, and on alternate Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1772

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nasser Ahmad 6/22/04 Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1772

N. Ahmad. June 22, 2006.